



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 8

Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP  
12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030

**COPY MAILED**

JUL 30 2003

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Peter F. King  
Application No. 09/872,997  
Filed: May 31, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. 3399P052

**DECISION DISMISSING PETITION  
UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

This is a decision on the petition, filed May 15, 2003, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. *See* 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is dismissed as **MOOT**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application became the subject of a counterpart application filed in an eighteen-month country on May 27, 2002. A review of the file record discloses that a Notice to Rescind was received in the USPTO on April 22, 2002.

Where an applicant makes a nonpublication request under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(i) and then rescinds (pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(ii)) the nonpublication request **before or on the date a counterpart application is filed in an eighteen-month publication country**, the nonpublication request will be treated as annulled and the application will be treated as if the nonpublication request had never been made. *See* Clarification of the United States Patent and Trademark Office's Interpretation of the Provisions of 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(ii)-(iv), 1272 OG 1 (July 1, 2003), available at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/patog/week26/>.

In the instant application, a notice to rescind was filed prior to the filing date of a counterpart application in an eighteen-month publication country. Therefore, since receipt in the USPTO of the request to rescind prior to the filing date of a counterpart application in an eighteen-month

publication country resulted in the annulling of the nonpublication request, this application is not regarded as abandoned. As such, the filing of a petition to revive is unnecessary and is dismissed as involving a moot issue.

Since this application is not in fact abandoned, for the reason stated above, the petition fee of \$ is being credited to Deposit Account No. 02-2666 as authorized.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center 2100 for examination in due course.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 306-3475.



Marianne E. Morgan

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy